arXiv:1402.6826v1 [hep-th] 27 Feb 2014

Non-relativistic Nambu-Goldstone modes associated with spontaneously broken space-time and internal symmetries

Michikazu Kobayashi[1], Muneto Nitta[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Oiwake-cho, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan,*

[2]*Department of Physics, Keio University, Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8511, Japan,*

(Dated: February 28, 2014)

Abstract

We show that a momentum operator of a translational symmetry may not commute with an internal symmetry operator in the presence of a topological soliton in non-relativistic theories. As a striking consequence, there appears a coupled Nambu-Goldstone mode with a quadratic dispersion consisting of translational and internal zero modes in the vicinity of a domain wall in an $O(3)$ sigma model, a magnetic domain wall in ferromagnets with an easy axis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetry is one of the most important guiding principles in describing nature in quantum physics. In particular, relativistic quantum field theories rely on symmetry principle and have been quite successful in unifying fundamental forces; Gauge symmetries of electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions can be unified to one group in Grand unified theories. However, space-time symmetry related to gravity could not be, because of the Coleman-Mandula theorem [1] showing that internal symmetry and space-time symmetry must be a direct product. With relaxing one of assumptions that the Coleman-Mandula theorem is based on, supersymmetry, which is a fermionic symmetry, can combine space-time symmetry and internal symmetry, as shown by Haag, Lopzanski, and Zohnius [2]. Namely, the supersymmetry algebra $\{Q^I_\alpha, \bar{Q}^J_{\dot{\beta}}\} \sim \delta^{IJ} P_\mu \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ and $\{Q^I_\alpha, Q^J_\beta\} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} C^{IJ}$ contains the translational operator P and the indices I, J for an internal symmetry, where C^{IJ} is a central extension.

In this Letter, we find a yet another symmetry algebra including both the space-time symmetry and an internal symmetry:

$$[P, \Theta] = W \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

where P is a translational operator, Θ is an internal symmetry operator and W is a "central" extension. We show that the algebra (1) is possible in the presence of a topological soliton in non-relativistic theories. In a practical model, the central charge W is a topological charge of a domain wall, as in supersymmetry algebra in the presence of Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) kinks [3]. More precisely, W can be a tensorial charge rather than a central charge for extended objects as supersymmetry algebra with BPS extended topological solitons [4–6] and p-branes in supergravity and string theory [7–9]. As a consequence of our novel algebra, a Nambu-Goldstone (NG) mode for the translational symmetry is coupled to that for the internal $U(1)$ symmetry when the presence of a domain wall breaks both the symmetries; They give rise to one NG mode with a quadratic dispersion relation, although two symmetry generators are spontaneously broken. This phenomenon itself is already known as type II NG modes [10–14]. However, previously known examples are either NG modes of both internal symmetries such as ferromagnets or those of both space-time symmetry such as vortices and lumps [15, 16], while our case mixes them together because of Eq. (1).

II. MODELS AND A DOMAIN WALL

We start from the following relativistic and non-relativistic massive $\mathbb{C}P^1$ Lagrangian densities $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel} = \frac{|\dot{u}|^2 - |\nabla u|^2 - m^2|u|^2}{(1+|u|^2)^2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel} = \frac{i(u^*\dot{u} - \dot{u}^* u)}{2(1+|u|^2)} - \frac{|\nabla u|^2 + m^2|u|^2}{(1+|u|^2)^2}, \tag{2}$$

where, $u \in \mathbb{C}$ is the complex projective coordinate defined as $\phi^T = (1,u)^T/\sqrt{1+|u|^2}$ with normalized two scalar fields $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T$. $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ is obtained by taking the non-relativistic limit of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ (see Appendix A). $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ are equivalent to $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma models:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm rel} &= \frac{1}{4}\{|\dot{\boldsymbol{n}}|^2 - |\nabla \boldsymbol{n}|^2 - m^2(1-n_3^2)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel} &= \frac{\dot{n}_1 n_2 - n_1 \dot{n}_2}{2(1+n_3)} - \frac{1}{4}\{|\nabla \boldsymbol{n}|^2 + m^2(1-n_3^2)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

under the Hopf map for a three-vector of scalar fields $\boldsymbol{n} \equiv \phi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi$ with the Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. These models describe ferromagnets with one easy axis.

The actions $S_{\rm rel} = \int d^4x\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $S_{\rm nrel} = \int d^4x\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ are invariant under a global discrete $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transformation: $u \leftrightarrow 1/u^*$, a global $U(1)$ phase rotation: $u \to u e^{i\alpha}$, and the Poincaré (for $S_{\rm rel}$) or Galilean (for $S_{\rm rel}$) transformation in space-time. There are two discrete vacua $|u| = 0$ or $|u| \to \infty$, and $m > 0$ is defined as the energy gap between them. For these vacua, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry for the global discrete transformation is spontaneously broken. In the framework of the nonlinear sigma models (3), the vacua are expressed as $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, $n_3 = \pm 1$, and the last $m^2(1-n_3^2)$ terms are regarded as the Ising potential.

Dynamics of u can be obtained by the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1+|u|^2)\ddot{u} - 2u^*\dot{u}^2 &\overset{\rm rel}{=} (1+|u|^2)\nabla^2 u - 2u^*(\nabla u)^2 - m^2(1-|u|^2)u, \\ -i(1+|u|^2)\dot{u} &\overset{\rm nrel}{=} (1+|u|^2)\nabla^2 u - 2u^*(\nabla u)^2 - m^2(1-|u|^2)u, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where, $\overset{\rm rel}{=}$ and $\overset{\rm nrel}{=}$ correspond to dynamics derived from $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ respectively.

We next consider a static domain or anti-domain wall solution interpolating the two vacua. The flat and static domain wall solution perpendicular to the z-axis is [18] (see Appendix B)

$$u_0 = \exp\{m(z-Z) + i\alpha\}, \tag{5}$$

where α $(0 \le \alpha < 2\pi)$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ are phase and translational moduli of the domain wall. This is known as a magnetic domain wall in ferromagnets with an easy axis.

In the presence of the domain wall, the $H_1 \simeq U(1)\times\mathbb{R}^3$ symmetry is further spontaneously broken, where $U(1)$ is the global symmetry for the internal phase rotation and $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the three-dimensional translational symmetry in a space. The remaining symmetry is $H_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2_{xy}$, where $\mathbb{R}^2_{xy}$ indicates the translation along the xy–plane [17]. Breaking symmetries $H_1/H_2 \simeq U(1)\times\mathbb{R}_z$ due to the domain wall are the internal $U(1)$ phase rotation and translation along the z direction, and two moduli α and Z in Eq. (5) are regarded as corresponding NG modes in the vicinity of the domain wall. The NG mode α is the phase mode known as a phonon mode localized in the domain wall. The other NG mode for Z is the translational surface mode of the domain wall, known as a ripplon mode in condensed matter physics. In the following, we show that the localized phonon mode and ripplon mode couple to one "coupled ripplon" mode with fixed dispersion relation and amplitude.

III. LOW-ENERGY EFFECTIVE THEORY OF A DOMAIN WALL

We next consider the NG modes excited along the domain wall with constructing the effective theory on a domain wall by the moduli approximation [19]. Introducing $\boldsymbol{r} = (x, y)$, and t dependences of two moduli α and Z as $\alpha(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$ and $Z(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$, we consider the ansatz u as

$$u = \exp[m\{z - Z(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\} + i\alpha(\boldsymbol{r}, t)]. \tag{6}$$

Inserting Eq. (6) to Eq. (2), the two effective Lagrangians $L^{\rm eff}_{\rm rel}$ and $L^{\rm eff}_{\rm nrel}$ defined as $L^{\rm eff}_{\rm rel} = \lim_{L\to\infty}\int_{-L}^{L} dz\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and $L^{\rm eff}_{\rm nrel} = \lim_{L\to\infty}\int_{-L}^{L} dz\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ become

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\rm eff}_{\rm rel} &= \frac{m^2(\dot{Z}^2 - |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} Z|^2) + \dot{\alpha}^2 - |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}\alpha|^2}{2m} - m + O(\nabla^3), \\ L^{\rm eff}_{\rm nrel} &= Z\dot{\alpha} - L\dot{\alpha} - \frac{m^2|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} Z|^2 + |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}\alpha|^2}{2m} - m + O(\nabla^3), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

up to the quadratic order in derivatives. Here, $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$ is the derivative in the xy–plane. The constant term m is the tension (the energy per unit area) of the static flat domain wall. The relativistic case was already obtained before [20].

The low-energy dynamics of Z and α derived from the Euler-Lagrange equation becomes

$$\ddot{Z} \overset{\rm rel}{=} \nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{r}} Z, \quad \ddot{\alpha} \overset{\rm rel}{=} \nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{r}}\alpha, \tag{8a}$$

$$\dot{\alpha} \overset{\rm nrel}{=} -m\nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{r}} Z, \quad m\dot{Z} \overset{\rm nrel}{=} \nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{r}}\alpha. \tag{8b}$$

For the relativistic case, the dynamics of Z and α are independent of each other, giving linear dispersions:

$$\omega_{\rm rel}^{Z,\alpha} = \pm|\boldsymbol{k}|, \tag{9}$$

with the frequencies $\omega_{\rm rel}^{Z}$ for Z and $\omega_{\rm rel}^{\alpha}$ for α, and the wave-number $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_x, k_y)$. Waves for Z and α independently propagate as a ripplon and a localized phonon in the vicinity of the domain wall.

Being different from the relativistic case, the dynamics of Z and α couple to each other for the non-relativistic case. There are two typical solutions of Eq (8b):

$$Z_1 = A_1 \sin(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t + \delta_1), \quad \alpha_1 = mA_1 \cos(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t + \delta_1), \tag{10a}$$

$$Z_2 = A_2 \cos(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} + \omega t + \delta_2), \quad \alpha_2 = mA_2 \sin(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} + \omega t + \delta_2), \tag{10b}$$

where $A_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants. Waves of Z and α couple to each other and propagate as a coupled ripplon with a quadratic dispersion

$$\omega_{\rm nrel} = \boldsymbol{k}^2. \tag{11}$$

For the first and second solutions, the coupled ripplon propagate in the direction parallel and anti-parallel to $\boldsymbol{k}$, respectively. Figures 1 (a) and (b) show the schematic pictures of coupled ripplons for Eq. (10a) and (10b), respectively. In contrast to a quantized vortex in superfluids in which a Kelvin-wave is a combination of two translational modes in real space, the coupled ripplon is a combination of the translational mode in real space and the phase mode of the internal degree of freedom.

IV. LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY

Dynamics of a ripplon can also be analyzed by the linear response theory. We consider the ansatz as the static domain-wall solution and its fluctuation: $u = u_0 + \delta u = u_0 + a_+ e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-\omega t)} + a_-^* e^{-i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-\omega t)}$. Inserting this ansatz into the dynamical equation (4), we can obtain the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\rm rel}^2 a_\pm &\overset{\rm rel}{=} \left\{(\boldsymbol{k}^2 - \partial_z^2) + \frac{4me^{2mz}\partial_z - m^2(3e^{2mz}-1)}{1+e^{2mz}}\right\}a_\pm + O(a_\pm^2), \\
\omega_{{\rm nrel},\pm} a_\pm &\overset{\rm nrel}{=} \pm\left\{(\boldsymbol{k}^2 - \partial_z^2) + \frac{4me^{2mz}\partial_z - m^2(3e^{2mz}-1)}{1+e^{2mz}}\right\}a_\pm + O(a_\pm^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$



FIG. 1. Schematic pictures of coupled ripplons and their propagating directions for solutions (10a) (a) and (10b) (b). The middle shaded area shows the region of the domain wall $|u| \approx 1$ ($n_z \approx 0$) and its color shows the phase α of u (direction of (n_x, n_y)). The + and − signs shows the areas for $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha < 0$ respectively. The vertical axis is the z–axis and the horizontal axis shows the direction of the wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$ in the xy–plane. For left (right) figures, the coupled ripplon propagate in the right (left) direction.

up to the linear order of $a_\pm$. The normalizable solution is $a_\pm \propto e^{mz}$ and eigenvalues are $\omega_{\rm rel}^2 = \boldsymbol{k}^2$ and $\omega_{\rm nrel,\pm} = \pm\boldsymbol{k}^2$. For the relativistic case, the solution is $\delta u = e^{mz}(g_{\rm rel,1}e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-|\boldsymbol{k}|t)} + g_{\rm rel,2}e^{-i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-|\boldsymbol{k}|t)} + g_{\rm rel,3}e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}+|\boldsymbol{k}|t)} + g_{\rm rel,4}e^{-i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}+|\boldsymbol{k}|t)})$ with arbitrary constants $g_{\rm rel,1-4} \in \mathbb{C}$. The localized phonon mode is obtained by taking $g_{\rm rel,1} = g_{\rm rel,2} = g_0 e^{i\alpha}$ and $g_{\rm rel,3} = g_{\rm rel,4} = 0$ (parallel direction to $\boldsymbol{k}$), or $g_{\rm rel,1} = g_{\rm rel,2} = 0$ and $g_{\rm rel,3} = g_{\rm rel,4} = g_0 e^{i\alpha}$ (anti-parallel direction to $\boldsymbol{k}$) with $g_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The ripplon mode is obtained by taking $g_{\rm rel,1} = -g_{\rm rel,2} = g_0 e^{i\alpha}$ and $g_{\rm rel,3} = g_{\rm rel,4} = 0$ (parallel direction to $\boldsymbol{k}$), or $g_{\rm rel,1} = g_{\rm rel,2} = 0$ and $g_{\rm rel,3} = -g_{\rm rel,4} = g_0 e^{i\alpha}$ (anti-parallel direction to $\boldsymbol{k}$). For non-relativistic case, the solution is $\delta u = e^{mz}(g_{\rm nrel,1}e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{k}^2 t)} + g_{\rm nrel,2}e^{-i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{k}^2 t)})$ with arbitrary constants $g_{\rm nrel,1-2} \in \mathbb{C}$. $g_{\rm nrel,1} = imA_1 e^{i\delta_1}$ and $g_{\rm nrel,2} = 0$ ($g_{\rm nrel,1} = 0$ and $g_{\rm nrel,2} = -mA_2 e^{i\delta_2}$) corresponds to the coupled ripplon solution (10a) (Eq. (10b)).

V. COMMUTATION RELATION

We obtain gapless and localized phonon and ripplon modes with linear dispersions (9) for $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ and the coupled ripplon mode with quadratic dispersion (11) for $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ in the vicinity of the domain wall. These modes are NG modes as a consequence of the spontaneous

breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry for the phase rotation and the translational symmetry: $H_1 \simeq U(1) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to H_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ under the appearance of the domain wall. The type-I NG mode for the linear dispersion (9) is consistent with the fact that the relativistic action $S_{\rm rel}$ has the Lorentz invariance. On the other hand, the non-relativistic dispersion (11) gives a type-II NG mode satisfying a quadratic dispersion relation. In both cases, our dispersions saturate the equality of the Nielsen-Chadha inequality [10]: $N_{\rm I} + 2N_{\rm II} \geq N_{\rm BG}$, where $N_{\rm I}$, $N_{\rm II}$, and $N_{\rm BG}$ are the total numbers of the type-I NG modes, the type-II NG modes, and symmetry generators which correspond to spontaneously broken symmetries. Recently, it has been shown in Refs. [13, 14] for internal symmetry that the equality of the Nielsen-Chadha inequality is saturated as the Watanabe-Brauner's relation [12]:

$$N_{\rm BG} - N_{\rm NG} = \frac{1}{2}{\rm rank}\rho, \quad \rho_{i,j} = \lim_{V\to\infty}\frac{1}{V}\int d^3\boldsymbol{x}[\Omega_i, \Omega_j]\Big|_{u=u_0}, \tag{13}$$

where $N_{\rm NG} = N_{\rm I} + N_{\rm II}$ is the number of NG modes, V is the volume of the system, Ω_i is the Noether's charge or a generator of broken symmetries, and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is a commutator or the Poisson bracket in classical level . According to this relation, $N_{\rm BG} \neq N_{\rm NG}$ takes place when commutators of broken generators are non-vanishing in non-relativistic theories. This relation has been proven for internal symmetries such as the Heisenberg ferromagnet and has been confirmed for space-time symmetries such as a quantized vortex in superfluid and a two-dimensional skyrmion in ferromagnets [16]. In our case, on the other hand, broken generators consist of one internal symmetry and one spatial symmetry which intuitively commute because underlying symmetries are the direct product and are independent of each other, *i.e.*, $H_1/H_2 \simeq U(1) \times \mathbb{R}$. To check whether the relation (13) also holds in our case or not, we directly calculate the commutation relation between symmetry generators of the internal $U(1)$ phase rotation and the translation. Defining the momenta v conjugate to u as

$$v \overset{\rm rel}{=} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}}{\partial\dot{u}} = \frac{\dot{u}^*}{(1+|u|^2)^2}, \quad v \overset{\rm nrel}{=} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}}{\partial\dot{u}} = \frac{iu^*}{2(1+|u|^2)}, \tag{14}$$

the Noether's charges for the phase rotation and the translation along z-axis are obtained as

$$\Theta = \int dz\, J^0_\alpha, \quad J^0_\alpha = iuv, \tag{15}$$

$$P = \int dz\, J^0_Z, \quad J^0_Z = (\partial_z u)v, \tag{16}$$

respectively. The commutator between P and Θ can be calculated from $[u(z_1), v(z_2)] = \delta(z_1 - z_2)$, to yield

$$\begin{aligned}
[P, \Theta] &= \int dz_1 \int dz_2 \, [J^0_Z(z_1), J^0_\alpha(z_2)] \\
&= i \int dz_1 \int dz_2 \, [(\partial_{z_1} u(z_1)) v(z_1), u(z_2) v(z_2)] \\
&= i \int dz_1 \int dz_2 \, \{(\partial_{z_1} u(z_1))[v(z_1), u(z_2)] v(z_2) + u(z_2) \partial_{z_1} [u(z_1), v(z_2)] v(z_1)\} \\
&= -i \int dz_1 \int dz_2 \, \{(\partial_{z_1} u(z_1)) v(z_2) + u(z_2)(\partial_{z_1} v(z_1))\} \delta(z_1 - z_2) \\
&= -i \int dz \, \partial_z (u(z) v(z)).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The commutator vanishes for the relativistic case because of $v = 0$ ($\dot{u} = 0$), implying two type I NG modes.

For the non-relativistic case, the commutator becomes

$$W \equiv -i \int dz \, \partial_z (u(z) v(z)) \overset{\text{nrel}}{=} \int dz \, \partial_z \left(\frac{|u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \right) = \left[\frac{|u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \right]_{z=-\infty}^{z=+\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - n_z \right]_{z=-\infty}^{z=+\infty}. \tag{18}$$

This is precisely the topological charge of the domain wall and is proportional to the tension of the domain wall [18, 20] (see Appendix B). Evaluating this in the domain wall background $u = u_0$, we find $W = 1$. As a result, two generators P and Θ do not commute, giving $N_{\rm BG} - N_{\rm NG} = 1$ and one type II NG mode, consistent with our result for the coupled ripplon.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have considered NG modes excited on one flat domain wall in relativistic and non-relativistic $\mathbb{C}P^1$ models with the Ising potential. NG modes in the relativistic model are the localized phonon mode for the $U(1)$ phase rotation and the translational ripplon mode which are independent of each other and have linear dispersions. In the non-relativistic model, on the other hand, there is one coupled ripplon mode with a quadratic dispersion as the combination of the localized phonon mode and the ripplon mode. The numbers of NG modes saturate the equality of the Nielsen-Chadha inequality, and also satisfies the Watanabe-Brauner's relation in which the commutator between two generators

of the internal phase mode and spatial translational mode gives the topological domain wall charge.

Quantum effects on localized type-II NG modes remain as an important problem, which was studied for a vortex with non-Abelian localized modes [21].

The term $|u|^2/(1+|u|^2) = (1/2)(1-n_z)$ in Eq.(18) is known as the momentum map in symplectic geometry and the D-term in supersymmetric gauge theory. Therefore, our model can be extended to the $\mathbb{C}P^n$ model, Grassmann sigma model [22, 23], sigma models on more general Kähler target manifolds, and non-Abelian gauge theories. A domain wall in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates has a different structure of NG modes [24], although there are also translational and internal $U(1)$ zero modes. This may be because the $U(1)$ zero mode is non-normalizable in their case. If one couples a gauge field, their model reduces to ours in strong gauge coupling limit (see Appendix A), with the internal $U(1)$ mode becoming normalizable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank H. Takeuchi for useful discussions and H. Watanabe for explaining their results. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grants No. 22740219 (M.K.) and No. 25400268 (M.N.)) and the work of M. N. is also supported in part by the "Topological Quantum Phenomena" Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (No. 25103720) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

Appendix A: Relation between relativistic and non-relativistic models

We consider the $U(1)$ gauge theory coupled with two complex scalar fields $\phi_{\rm rel} = (\phi_{{\rm rel},1}, \phi_{{\rm rel},2})^T$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm g} = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu\phi_{\rm rel}|^2 - \frac{e^2}{2}(\phi_{\rm rel}^\dagger\phi_{\rm rel} - 1)^2 - V(\phi_{\rm rel}), \tag{A1}$$

where the gauge coupling e and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$. We choose the last potential term as the Ising potential:

$$V(\phi) = m^2\{1 - (\phi^\dagger\sigma_z\phi)^2\}, \tag{A2}$$

which stabilizes the $\phi^\dagger\sigma_z\phi = \pm 1$ states as vacua. By taking the strong coupling limit $e \to \infty$ in which the model reduces to the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model with potential terms. By rewriting $\phi_{\rm rel} = (1, u)/\sqrt{1+|u|^2}$ with complex projective coordinate u, we obtain the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel}$ in Eq. (2).

The non-relativistic limit can be considered by separating the total energy into the invariant mass and the others: $\phi_{\rm rel} = e^{-iMt}\phi_{\rm nrel}$ with the particle mass M. $\mathcal{L}_{\rm g}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm g} - M^2\phi^\dagger_{\rm nrel}\phi_{\rm nrel} = &-\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + iM(\phi^\dagger_{\rm nrel}\dot{\phi}_{\rm nrel} - \dot{\phi}^\dagger_{\rm nrel}\phi_{\rm nrel}) \\ &+ |D_\mu\phi_{\rm nrel}|^2 - \frac{e^2}{2}(\phi^\dagger_{\rm nrel}\phi_{\rm nrel} - 1)^2 - V(\phi_{\rm nrel}),\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

By taking the limit of $M \to \infty$, $|\dot{\phi}_{\rm nrel}|^2$ in the third term of the right-hand side can be neglected compared to the second term. As well as the relativistic case, strong coupling limit $e \to \infty$ reduces $\phi_{\rm nrel} = (1, u)/\sqrt{1+|u|^2}$, and we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nrel}$ in Eq. (2) after rewriting $M \to 1/2$.

Appendix B: Topological charge of a domain wall

Here, we describe the topological charge of a static and flat domain wall interpolating between two discrete vacua $u = 0$ and $u = \infty$. The Bogomol'nyi completion for the tension (energy per unit length) of a static domain wall can be obtained as

$$E = \int dz \frac{|\partial_z u| + m^2|u|^2}{(1+|u|^2)^2} = \int dz \frac{|\partial_z u \mp mu|^2 \pm m(u^*\partial_z u + u\partial_z u^*)}{(1+|u|^2)^2} \geq |T|, \tag{B1}$$

where ∂_z denotes the differentiation with respect to z, and T is the topological charge of the domain wall:

$$\begin{aligned}T \equiv m\int dz \frac{u^*\partial_z u + u\partial_z u^*}{(1+|u|^2)^2} &= \frac{m}{2}\int dz\partial_z\left(\frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2}\right) = \frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2}\right]^{z=+\infty}_{z=-\infty} \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\left[n_z\right]^{z=+\infty}_{z=-\infty} = m\left[(1-W)\right]^{z=+\infty}_{z=-\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

With a fixed boundary condition and the topological charge T, the most stable configurations saturate the inequality in Eq. (B1) and satisfy the BPS equation

$$\partial_z u \mp mu = 0, \tag{B3}$$

obtained by $|...|^2 = 0$ in Eq. (B1). This BPS equation can be immediately solved as [18, 20]

$$u_0 = e^{\pm m(z-Z)+i\alpha}, \tag{B4}$$

where $\pm$ denotes a domain wall and an anti-domain wall, with the tension

$$|T| = m, \tag{B5}$$

---

[1] S. R. Coleman and J. Mandula, "All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix," Phys. Rev. **159**, 1251 (1967).

[2] R. Haag, J. T. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, "All Possible Generators of Supersymmetries of the s Matrix," Nucl. Phys. B **88**, 257 (1975).

[3] E. Witten and D. I. Olive, "Supersymmetry Algebras That Include Topological Charges," Phys. Lett. B **78**, 97 (1978).

[4] G. R. Dvali and M. A. Shifman, "Dynamical compactification as a mechanism of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking," Nucl. Phys. B **504**, 127 (1997) [hep-th/9611213].

[5] G. R. Dvali and M. A. Shifman, "Domain walls in strongly coupled theories," Phys. Lett. B **396**, 64 (1997) [Erratum-ibid. B **407**, 452 (1997)] [hep-th/9612128].

[6] A. Gorsky and M. A. Shifman, "More on the tensorial central charges in N=1 supersymmetric gauge theories (BPS wall junctions and strings)," Phys. Rev. D **61**, 085001 (2000) [hep-th/9909015].

[7] J. A. de Azcarraga, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. Izquierdo and P. K. Townsend, "Topological Extensions of the Supersymmetry Algebra for Extended Objects," Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2443 (1989).

[8] E. R. C. Abraham and P. K. Townsend, "Intersecting extended objects in supersymmetric field theories," Nucl. Phys. B **351**, 313 (1991).

[9] P. K. Townsend, "P-brane democracy," In *Duff, M.J. (ed.): The world in eleven dimensions* 375-389 [hep-th/9507048].

[10] H. B. Nielsen and S. Chadha, "On How to Count Goldstone Bosons," Nucl. Phys. B **105**, 445 (1976).

[11] Y. Nambu, "Spontaneous Breaking of Lie and Current Algebras," J. Statist. Phys. **115**, no. 1/2, 7 (2004).

[12] H. Watanabe and T. Brauner, "On the number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons and its relation to charge densities," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 125013 (2011) [arXiv:1109.6327 [hep-ph]].

[13] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, "Unified Description of Nambu-Goldstone Bosons without Lorentz Invariance," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 251602 (2012) [arXiv:1203.0609 [hep-th]].

[14] Y. Hidaka, "Counting rule for Nambu-Goldstone modes in nonrelativistic systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 091601 (2013) [arXiv:1203.1494 [hep-th]].

[15] M. Kobayashi and M. Nitta, "Kelvin modes as Nambu-Goldstone modes along superfluid vortices and relativistic strings: finite volume size effects," Prog.Theor.Exp.Phys.:021B01,2014 [arXiv:1307.6632 [hep-th]].

[16] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, "Non-commuting momenta of topological solitons," arXiv:1401.8139 [hep-th].

[17] In the existence of the domain wall, the three-dimensional $SO(3)$ rotational symmetry in space is also broken to the two-dimensional $SO(2)$ rotational symmetry along the z–axis. The breaking rotational symmetry along the direction perpendicular to the z–axis corresponding to $SO(3)/SO(2) \simeq S^2$, however, does not contribute as additional Nambu-Goldstone modes, because the rotational modes can be constructed from the local translational mode, as discussed in E. A. Ivanov and V. I Ogievetskiii, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **25**, 164 (1975); I. Low and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 101602 (2002).

[18] E. R. C. Abraham and P. K. Townsend, "Q kinks," Phys. Lett. B **291**, 85 (1992); E. R. C. Abraham and P. K. Townsend, "More on Q kinks: A (1+1)-dimensional analog of dyons," Phys. Lett. B **295**, 225 (1992).

[19] N. S. Manton, "A Remark on the Scattering of BPS Monopoles," Phys. Lett. B **110**, 54 (1982); M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "Manifestly supersymmetric effective Lagrangians on BPS solitons," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 125008 (2006) [hep-th/0602289].

[20] M. Arai, M. Naganuma, M. Nitta and N. Sakai, "Manifest supersymmetry for BPS walls in N=2 nonlinear sigma models," Nucl. Phys. B **652**, 35 (2003) [hep-th/0211103]; M. Nitta, "Josephson vortices and the Atiyah-Manton construction," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 125004 (2012) [arXiv:1207.6958 [hep-th]].

[21] M. Nitta, S. Uchino and W. Vinci, "Quantum Exact Non-Abelian Vortices in Non-relativistic Theories," arXiv:1311.5408 [hep-th].

[22] Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "Construction of non-Abelian walls and their complete moduli space," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 161601 (2004) [hep-th/0404198]; Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "Non-Abelian walls in supersymmetric gauge theories,"

Phys. Rev. D **70**, 125014 (2004) [hep-th/0405194]; Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "All exact solutions of a 1/4 Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield equation," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 065018 (2005) [hep-th/0405129]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, K. Ohta and N. Sakai, "D-brane construction for non-Abelian walls," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 125006 (2005) [hep-th/0412024].

[23] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "Solitons in the Higgs phase: The Moduli matrix approach," J. Phys. A **39**, R315 (2006) [hep-th/0602170].

[24] H. Takeuchi and K. Kasamatsu, "Nambu-Goldstone modes in segregated Bose-Einstein condensates," Phys. Rev. A **88**, 043612 (2013) [arXiv:1309.3224 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].